



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

*Federal Coordination and Compliance Section-NWB
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530*

4/20/2021

(b) (6) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Information

Lilian Dorka
Interim Director
Office of Civil Rights
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Mail Stop 1201A
Washington, D.C. 20004

Dear Ms. Dorka:

Enclosed for your review is a letter received by the Federal Coordination and Compliance Section of the Civil Rights Division of the U.S. Department of Justice. The matter does not appear to be within the jurisdiction of our office.

However, the issues raised may fall within the jurisdiction of your agency and, therefore, we are referring it to you for appropriate disposition. The writer has been notified of the referral.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Christine Stoneman
Acting Chief
Federal Coordination and Compliance Section
Civil Rights Division

Enclosure



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

*Federal Coordination and Compliance Section-NWB
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530*

4/20/2021

(b) (5) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforceability

(b) (5) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforceability

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear (b) (5) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforceability

Your letter was received by the Federal Coordination and Compliance Section of the Civil Rights Division of the U.S. Department of Justice. We have considered carefully the information you have provided, but the matter does not appear to be within the jurisdiction of our office.

However, by the enclosed letter, we have referred the matter to the agency that is most likely to assist you. If you have any questions, please contact the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency at (202) 272-0167.

Sincerely,

Christine Stoneman
Acting Chief
Federal Coordination and Compliance Section
Civil Rights Division

Enclosure

February 12, 2021

RECEIVED
SECTION
FEB 23 AM 12:27

US Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division
Federal Coordination and Review
950 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington DC 20530

Administrator

In the year 2008 an EPA complaint was filed regarding the placing of an Enterprise Zone within a South Carolina Health and Environmental Control permitted use regarding a now defunct Charleston County Incinerator the then owner of the waste management facilities that collected 70% percent of the then waste within this South Carolina Health and Environmental Control permitted facilities. At that time, I

(b) (6) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforcement Privacy

(b) (6) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforcement Privacy submitted to the South Carolina

Department of Transportation all required Federal Identifiers specifically Social Security Number, Employment Identification Number EIN via Internal Revenue Service to comply with Disadvantage Business Enterprise with South Carolina Unified Certification Program in accordance to DBE federal regulation 49 CFR Part 26. Unfortunately, as a result of a false police report exposing the very social security number that was expressed on the application to South Carolina Department of Transportation placing name on a Judicial Website owned by Charleston County for the Ninth Circuit receiving federal financial assistance under Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 Title State and Local Law Enforcement sections relating to the exposure and use of South Carolina Drivers License in which data cannot be provided without proper Notice to the person this police report exposed birth date,

recipient of federal Financial Assistance faxed August 24, 2009 almost a year later a letter from a Mr. John M Gadzichowski Chief Employment Litigation Section this letter confirmed a clear error under Administrative Procedure Act of 1946 for this letter give Notice based upon the Internal Revenue Service Brochure regarding Identity Theft " a person can be wrongfully accused of a crime they did not commit " the exposure by the South Carolina Department of Education under Protection Children in the 21st Act was made real under Sections 303 and 304 Administration and Enforcement from Internal Revenue Service to the Federal Reserve supported by Actions by States South Carolina Attorney General was mentioned within

[REDACTED] b6 (b) (7)(C) record reflect the my physical address was redacted by default exposing within
 the every Congressional District to political reprisals in addition to submitting a complaint to South
 Carolina Inspector General to the point were a Pre Injunction was Order by United States District Court
 of South Carolina discounting " Qualified Immunity" from 2008-2020 of South Carolina Sheriff's that
 have violated state or federal law or both supposedly upholding the Constitution all local, county, state
 and federal law enforcement not conducting public hearings under Title State and Local Law
 Enforcement of Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 regarding standards and
 criteria's for arrest, bookings, interrogations, emergency services , Notice, Asset Forfeiture , Justifiable
 Use Of Force the Justice Department should request a audit under Title State and Local Law
 Enforcement of complaints filed and followed up by federal components and US Attorney within 94

Federal Districts under for example errors within United States District Court of South Carolina under Rule 73 authority of US Magistrate within Rule 73 seizure of person or property or both under the 1895 South Carolina Constitution that nullified the 1868 South Carolina Constitution fortifying "public education" the causation for so many within the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994.

(b) (6) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforcement Privacy



Charleston South Carolina 29403

Saturday, June 23, 2017
8:10 PM



South Carolina
Department of Transportation

November 6, 2008

(b) (5) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforcement Privacy

North Charleston, SC 29415

(b) (5) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforcement Privacy

Your firm is currently certified as a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) with the South Carolina Unified Certification Program (SCUCP), in accordance to DBE federal regulations 49 CFR Part 26.

As you were advised upon your certification as a DBE, you are required to submit specific information regarding your firm's continued eligibility on an annual basis on or before your certification expiration date. However, per your request we are allowing an extension to submit required documents listed below by December 10, 2008:

1. Certification Update Application Form (also located on website)
2. Personal Net Worth Statement Form (also located on website)
3. Affidavit of No Change Form (also located on website)
4. Submit your firm's completed Federal Tax Returns for three consecutive years covering the time period of 2004 through 2007

For your convenience, these forms may be obtained from our website at http://www.scdot.org/doing/pdfs/UCPApplicationPacket_update.pdf. Failure to provide such information within the allotted timeframe may result in suspension of your firm's certification status.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Mr. Moses Ashford or Gary Linn, Certification Analysts at (803) 737-1372.

Sincerely,

Arlene Prince

Arlene F. Prince, Ph.D
Director of Business Development &
Special Programs

AFP:lw

File: J4266 FORAL LETTERS: L-Annual Update Extension Letter



John E-M

JUN - 9 2016
AUS



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL

January 27, 2009

Robert Ashworth
Director, Program Integrity (Hotline) Division
Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Inspector General - Hotline
451 7th Street SW
Washington, DC 20410

Dear Mr. Ashworth:

On August 3, 2008, a concerned citizen, [REDACTED], contacted the Environmental Protection Agency Office of Inspector General Hotline. When we contacted him, [REDACTED] alleged wrongdoing by Charleston City Council Members, Members of the Charleston County Park and Recreational Commission, the Greater Charleston Empowerment Corporation, Elpis Incorporated and other organizational leaders. During our limited inquiry, we found that the Department of Housing and Urban Development was the major source of federal funding for the entities identified. Therefore, we are forwarding [REDACTED] complaint to your office for review and possible action. We advised [REDACTED] of our referral to your office as well as to EPA's regional director for air issues, regional enforcement personnel, and EPA's regional Environmental Justice Coordinator.

If you have any questions on this matter or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact Robert Adachi at (415) 947-4537 or at adachi.robert@epamail.epa.gov or Matthew Simber at (215) 814-5742 or at simber.matthew@epamail.epa.gov.

Sincerely,

Melissa M. Heist
Assistant Inspector General for Audit


Enclosure

cc: Hotline 8-732

QMS
JUN - 9 2016



PCS-Tower Rd



Enterprise Communities

Printed: October 2001

0 1,500 3,000 6,000 Feet

Legend

- Enterprise Communities
- Water
- Brownfields

City of Charleston
Department of Planning & Neighborhoods
75 Calhoun Street, Third Floor
Charleston, South Carolina 29401
843.724.2707
fax 843.724.5772



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

JMG:JBD:AH:wcr
DJ 170-67-0

Employment Litigation Section - PHB
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530
www.usdoj.gov/crt/emp

JUN 23 2010

(b) (6) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforcement Privacy

Charleston, South Carolina 29403

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in response to your correspondence faxed to the Office of the Inspector General at the Department of Justice on August 24, 2009, regarding the environmental effects of the Charleston County Incinerator on minority communities and retaliation due to your related civil rights complaints. Please excuse our delay in responding.

Your correspondence indicates that you believe you and your family have been retaliated against by several individuals, businesses and organizations at the local and state levels after voicing your concerns about the effects of the Charleston County Incinerator. You also raise concerns about alleged waste, fraud and abuse being committed by several individuals and entities within your community and the State of South Carolina. Your correspondence and the documents attached to it indicate that you previously have contacted various state and federal agencies regarding these matters, including the Environmental Protection Agency, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of Justice.

We are forwarding a copy of your correspondence to the Appellate Section of the Department of Justice's Civil Rights Division. That office reviews and considers environmental justice complaints, and will be in contact with you if it determines that it may be of assistance. With regard to your allegations of misconduct by local officials, it appears that you have already contacted the local law enforcement authorities that would have jurisdiction over such matters.

You also may wish to consult with a private attorney of your own choosing and at your own expense to determine what other remedies, if any, may be available to you. If you are unable to afford a private attorney, you may desire to contact a local legal aid agency to find out whether it may be able to assist you.

Sincerely,

John M. Gadzichowski
Chief

Employment Litigation Section

By:

Adrienne Harrell
Paralegal Specialist

Employment Litigation Section

June 17, 2001
J

18-7296

Refits sought for East Side in arena project

for, Campbell
uss ideas for
rdable housing

BARTELME
and Courier Staff

and Courier, Piggly
and others involved in
ge of Charleston's
of should donate land
nd time to affordable
projects. Two East Side
aid Friday in a presen-
local business, banking
riment officials.
Councilman Kwadjo
and real estate agent
Bryant said the pro-
cess hinges on how the
city addresses gentrifi-
and other problems af-
nearby neighborhoods.
rel under the right cir-
ces, the arena can be a
East Side," Campbell



fort.
Piggly Wiggly owns a gro-
cery store on Meeting Street.
The arena proposal calls for the
store to be demolished and re-
built on the block north of Campbell
and Bryant said the grocery
chain also could give
money to affordable housing
programs.
Robert Clergent, a developer
involved in private-sector as-
pects of the project, could raise
money through development
fees.
The College of Charleston
could become more involved in
neighborhood youth programs.
Among other things, the city
of Charleston could ensure that
minority-owned companies are
involved in the construction.
After the meeting, Bryant said
that the community should set a
\$85 million fund-raising goal
for affordable housing.
could do a lot of good with
kind of money. Everyone
happy, the developers

Council members 'playing race card' on county attorney's pay issue

On Jan. 14, the county council
and its members...
...the council...
...the council...
...the council...

...the council...
...the council...
...the council...
...the council...

...the council...
...the council...
...the council...
...the council...

...the council...
...the council...
...the council...
...the council...

...the council...
...the council...
...the council...
...the council...

...the council...
...the council...
...the council...
...the council...

RECEIVED

23 2016

Municipal Clerk's Office

1956 December 2006
Kendrick Hershman

[Handwritten signature]

Councilmember Seekings was excused from the Chamber at 5:38 p.m.

1. [REDACTED] said, "The fourth amendment is not an applicable term. [REDACTED] but this is the United States Department of Interior, Office of Secretary, signed by Carroll J. Andre, Chief, Civil Rights Division. It's in the public September 30th, 2009. [REDACTED], Charleston, South Carolina 29403, Department of Interior, in regards to, and I want to get that back, too, in regards to public access to parks. In general, we did our analysis on that, in general, and in terms of that analysis, what happened that was an investigatory letter. I did a public comment to the Commission [REDACTED] Inc., and by Lieutenant B.K. Williams, and in this letter, my FOIA request would only be answered if it was through 911 call whatever, whatever, whatever. So, what I came to the conclusion to believe is that a public comment was then subjected to criminal discovery. I went to the [REDACTED] meeting last night, and my e-mail, this is my [REDACTED] account, right here, and it's got the EPA in it, and we're talking about recovering the grant fraud Federal Program activity I was working on regarding the access, the access to public access, by and large, to African American children for parks and playgrounds throughout the country, in my analysis, and that's why I do my own research. This agreement denies that access simply by market rates and market forces. That's what we're dealing with. It denies it completely because people who live in the area can't afford to live there anyway. In terms of that so we then receive this, Berkeley County, right here, Berkeley County here, is informative, but in 2012 Berkeley County's FOIA request, we made to Berkeley County. I'm in [REDACTED] Berkeley County Zone for children but that's really dear to my heart. So, therefore, this is [REDACTED], what's this, Wells Fargo is the sponsor of this third party organization, and I was told in Berkeley County that I have issues on my computer. I'm BZA approved. I vote on cell towers. Right, so, cc: Joseph P. Riley, Jr. who is involved with that organization. John C. Hassell was the CEO at the Port during that period of time. Cheryl Hunter deals with DHEC and the issues with lakes and rivers right now, right there, Mr. Tecklenburg. So, we're not concerned about the City's responsibility. Mr. Tecklenburg has gotten to become Mayor. Our concern is a more consolidated relationship between the State and the Federal Government regarding access to parks in general. This would be a good start for the Mayor to begin that discussion with Berkeley County and others, regarding the access, by and large, to kids in our Recreational Departments that come from the inner city of Charleston, where they have access and won't be profiled in any kind of way, but enjoy in the park system or whatever. We have to think clearly with market forces and make that a criteria. This will be a prime example of how people drop the ball ten years, intentionally, the market shifted where he lives in Daniel Island. When it happened in 1990-something, I was living over there at the price point of 100 and some thousand dollars. What is it now? Five hundred thousand over there, four hundred thousand over there? So, this is what we're talking about. We're talking about the Department of Interior, DHEC and other agencies, State Ports Authority, stepping back up again, adding their name to the Fourth Amendment. This amendment, it's changing the whole relationship completely for the City of Charleston because of market forces, market impacts."

Councilmember Seekings returned to the Chamber at 5:41 p.m.

Mayor Tecklenburg said, "Thank you, [REDACTED] Would anyone else like to be heard? Yes, sir."

2. [REDACTED] said, "Good evening, [REDACTED] Mayor, City Council, one question I want to ask. Do African Americans live on [REDACTED]"

June 1, 2001
J

18-7296

Refits sought for East Side in arena project

Mayor, Campbell
toss ideas for
affordable housing

BARTHELME
and Courier Staff

Mayor and Councilman E. Bartelme and others involved in the East Side area of Charleston, S.C., are looking for ways to make the area more affordable. Bartelme said the project, which is a partnership between the city and private business, banking and real estate agents, is a "pilot project" and will be a "test case" for the city. He said the project is a "pilot project" and will be a "test case" for the city. He said the project is a "pilot project" and will be a "test case" for the city.



fort. Piggly Wiggly owns a grocery store on Meeting Street. The arena proposal calls for the store to be demolished and replaced with a new building. Campbell and Bryant said the grocery store is a "pilot project" and will be a "test case" for the city. He said the project is a "pilot project" and will be a "test case" for the city. He said the project is a "pilot project" and will be a "test case" for the city.

Council members 'playing race card' on county attorney's pay issue

On a Tuesday afternoon, the Charleston County Board of Commissioners met to discuss the issue of the county attorney's pay. The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low. The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low.

The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low. The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low.

The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low. The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low.

The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low. The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low.

The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low. The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low.

The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low. The board members were divided on the issue, with some arguing that the pay was too high and others arguing that it was too low.

RECEIVED

23 2016

Municipal Clerk's Office

1956 December 2006
Kendrick Harkins



The Public Service Commission State of South Carolina

✓
COMMISSIONERS
Justin T. Williams, Sixth District
Chairman
Florence P. Belser, Second District
Vice Chair
Carolyn L. "Carolee" Williams, First District
Stephen M. "Mike" Caston, Third District
Thomas J. "Tom" Ervin, Fourth District
Headen B. Thomas, Fifth District
Delton W. Powers, Jr., Seventh District

Jocelyn Boyd
Chief Clerk/Executive Director
Phone: (803) 896-5100
Fax: (803) 896-5246

Administrative Department
Phone: (803) 896-5100
Fax: (803) 896-5246

December 2, 2020

Dear (b) (6) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforcement Privacy

This email is to acknowledge that the Public Service Commission of South Carolina has received your Letter of Protest. Please be advised that your Letter of Protest will be placed in the Protest File of the Docket listed below and on the Commission's Website at www.psc.sc.gov.

(b) (6) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforcement Privacy

A Protestant is an individual objecting on the ground of private or public interest to the approval of an Application, Petition, Motion or other matters which the Commission may have under consideration. A Protestant may offer sworn testimony but cannot cross-examine witnesses offered by other parties.

According to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, filing a Protest does not make you a Party of Record. A Protestant desiring to become an Intervenor (i.e., a Party of Record) in a proceeding before the Commission may file a Petition for Intervention within the time prescribed by the Commission.

You can follow this Docket and other daily filings made at the Commission by subscribing to the Commission's Email Subscriptions at: <https://dms.psc.sc.gov/Web/Email>; or you may follow specifically (b) (6) Privacy, (b) (7)(C) Enforcement Privacy

If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Becky Latimer

Business
Private Use \$300



04/22/2021
US POSTAGE

Lilian Dorka
Interim Director
Office of Civil Rights
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Mail Stop 1201A/2310A
Washington, D.C. 20044

APR 28 2021